# Developments of the ontological argument – Descartes and Malcom



# By the end of today's lesson you will <u>have:</u>

- Re-capped your knowledge of Anselm's ontological argument
  - Learnt Descartes and Malcom's arguments
  - Compared the three ontological arguments – their similarities and differences

## Spec Check – Component 2: Philosophy Theme 1: Arguments for the existence of God

A	O1 – Knowledge and Understanding	AO2 – Issues for Analysis and Evaluation
Α	Inductive arguments – Cosmological	<ul> <li>Whether inductive arguments for the existence of God are persuasive.</li> <li>The extent to which the Kalam cosmological argument is convincing.</li> <li>The effectiveness of the cosmological/teleological argument for God's existence.</li> <li>Whether cosmological/teleological arguments are persuasive in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.</li> <li>The effectiveness of the challenges to the cosmological/teleological arguments for God's existence.</li> <li>Whether scientific explanations are more persuasive than philosophical explanations for the universe's existence.</li> </ul>
В	Inductive arguments – Teleological	
С	Challenges to inductive arguments	
D	Deductive arguments – Origins of the ontological argument	<ul> <li>The extent to which 'a priori' arguments for God's existence are persuasive.</li> <li>The extent to which different religious views on the nature of God impact on arguments for the existence of God.</li> <li>The effectiveness of the ontological argument for God's existence.</li> <li>Whether the ontological argument is more persuasive than the cosmological/teleological arguments for God's existence.</li> <li>The effectiveness of the challenges to the ontological argument for God's existence.</li> <li>The effectiveness of the challenges to the ontological argument are persuasive.</li> </ul>
E	Deductive arguments – Developments of the ontological argument	
F	Challenges to the ontological argument	

## Rene Descartes

- 17<sup>th</sup> Century scholar
- Developed Anselm's ontological argument
- Stated that God is a being who possesses all perfections
- God has attributes magnified (ALL loving) (ALL powerful)
- God is supremely perfect and possesses every positive attribute



## Descartes' Analogies

- Individually read either the triangle analogy or the mountain and valley analogy
- Draw a picture which explains your analogy
- Explain it to your partner

## Rene Descartes

#### • Triangle analogy:

- In order to THINK about the triangle, there needs to be a set of criteria that can be understood
- This makes up the definition of a triangle
- Similarly, to think of God you MUST think of the attributes that he has
- God has necessary perfection
- The IDEA of God and his ATTRIBUTES are inextricably linked – the essence and the existence are linked
- This develops Anselm's ontological argument by teaching us about God's qualities, rather than just saying 'nothing greater than which can be conceived'



## Rene Descartes

#### Mountain and valley analogy:

- Think of a set of mountains.
- It is impossible to not also think of the valley
- It is similarly impossible to think of God without thinking of his existence
- The necessity of God's existence is found in the idea of God



## AO1 - Essay Planning

- Continue from the AO1 paragraphs started in previous lessons:
- 'Explain the ontological argument for the existence of God' (20)
- POINT: Rene Descartes developed Anselm's ontological argument in the 17<sup>th</sup> Century to demonstrate how the deductive argument can also reveal attributes and characteristics of God and prove that God's existence is a predicate
- EXPLAIN: Descartes suggested that a perfect God MUST exist, because when we think of God he is inextricably linked to the attributes of perfection (i.e. all loving, all powerful etc). Existence must be a predicate of perfection.
- EXAMPLE: He uses the analogy of the triangle to show that if one is to think of a triangle they must know the attributes it possess (i.e. 3 sided shape adding up to 180 degrees). Similarly, to think of God you MUST think of his perfection
- LINK: Therefore, Descartes proves that God exists through a priori knowledge and that this is a perfect God

## Immanuel Kant – A response to Descartes

- 18<sup>th</sup> Century Prussian philosopher
- Rejected Anselm's and Descartes' ontological argument
- Stated that existence is not a determining predicate: it cannot be a property that an object can either possess or lack
- Objected that in saying 'God exists' this can teach us anything about the characteristics of God
- Therefore we can't state a priori that a perfect God exists
- Ontological argument with Kant critique Play from 6.15
- Kant's criticism of ontological argument



## Norman Malcom

- 20<sup>th</sup> Century scholar
- Disagreed with parts of Anselm's and Descartes' ontological arguments
- Acknowledged that Anselm saw existence as a predicate in Proslogion 2 (i,e, something that was assumed) but agreed with Anselm's proslogion 3 – that God has necessary existence
- Questioned how we refer to the idea of 'existence' of God, because if something 'exists' it suggests that it has a beginning
- God can't have a beginning because he is infinite.
- Malcom therefore states that the ontological argument should regard God as an UNLIMITED being who is necessary, rather than an existing being
- Suggested that God can't 'come in and out of existence' because he is necessary
- His existence is either necessary or impossible
- For Malcom; God is the unlimited being and possess all perfections

## Norman Malcom

- <u>VIDEO</u>
- <u>TASK:</u>
- Read page 42 and 43 as a pair
- Create a VENN diagram which compares the similarities and differences between Anselm / Descartes / Malcom



## Knowledge Re-Cap

- Speak it out:
- Proslogion 2
- Gaunilo
- Proslogion 3
- Descartes Triangle
- Descartes Mountains and Valleys
- Kant's Thalers
- Malcom unlimited being





## AO1 - Essay Planning

- Continue from the AO1 paragraphs started in previous lessons:
- 'Explain the ontological argument for the existence of God' (20)
- POINT: Norman Malcom further developed the ontological argument...
- EXPLAIN:
- EXAMPLE:
- LINK: